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## Executive Summary

AB 705 aims to increase transfer level English and math course completion for California community college students by allowing direct access into transfer-level courses. Santa Monica College began implementing the policy for English courses in fall 2018, allowing incoming students to enroll in English 1 (first transfer-level course) or English 1 plus English 28 (corequisite support course) based on their self-assessment and/or high school performance indicators (GPA). The following points summarize the early impact of AB 705 for English at SMC:

- Implementation of AB 705 has...
- Increased access to English 1 for incoming freshmen from 49.5\% in fall 2016 to 92.8\% in fall 2018;
- Decreased the access to English 1 equity gap for African American and Hispanic students;
- Improved the one-year English 1 throughput rate from 43.7\% for the fall 2016 cohort to $67.4 \%$ for the fall 2018 cohort, but equity gaps remained relatively the same for racially minoritized students;
- Students who enrolled in English 1 with the co-requisite English 28 had a lower one-year throughput rate than those who enrolled in the English 1 course without support;
- However, students with a high school GPA of 2.5 or lower experienced the opposite pattern as those with English 28 co-requisite did better than those who did not;
- African American and White students had a higher throughput rate if they enrolled in English 1 with English 28 when compared to their counterparts who did not;
- Overall, the English 1 course success rates in fall terms decreased in fall 2018 (62.8\%) by $2.2 \%$ when compared to fall 2016 (65.0\%); and,
- African American and Hispanic students successfully passed English 1 at lower rates in fall 2018 than in fall 2016. In addition, the equity gap experienced by the African American and Hispanic students widened over the last three years.


## Background

AB 705 aims to increase 1-year transfer level course completion for California community college students. The policy expects all incoming first-time freshmen students to be placed directly into transfer-level English courses. The only exception is when a student is assessed and deemed to be "highly unlikely to succeed" if placed into transfer-level English. Under such circumstances, the student might be placed one level below transfer. SMC adopted the policy and started implementing the legislation for English in fall 2018. The main purpose of the current analysis is to examine the effects of the new policy on English 1 completion rate. The analysis will attempt to answer the following five questions:

- Did the new policy increase the number of students starting the English sequence at the transfer level?
- Did English 1 throughput increase as a result of implementing the new policy?
- Was the overall course success rate in English 1 impacted by the implementation of the policy?
- Did students who took English 1 with the co-requisite have higher throughput than those who took the course as a stand-alone course?
- Did the implementation of the policy disproportionately impact a particular demographic group?


## Methodology

The analysis compared first-time freshmen cohorts of fall 2016, fall 2017, and fall 2018. Fall 2016 and fall 2017 cohorts were used as baselines to compare with the outcome of the study group (fall 2018 cohort). The following students were excluded from the analyses:

- Students with previous English enrollment record;
- Students with no English enrollment record in the academic year of the cohort semester; and,
- Students whose first English enrollment at SMC is above English 1. ${ }^{1}$

Based on the data pulled, the following performance measures were calculated to make the comparison between the baseline and study cohorts:

- Volume (count) of students enrolling in English 1 and completing English 1
- Throughput rate in English 1
- Success Rate in English 1

[^0]- Percentage point gap to measure disproportionate impact

The results of the analysis are described below.

## DID THE NEW POLICY INCREASE THE NUMBER OF STUDENTS STARTING THE ENGLISH COURSE SEQUENCE AT TRANSFER LEVEL?

AB 705 intends to shorten the time it takes to complete transfer-level English by placing students directly into transfer-level courses. Did the number of incoming first-time freshmen students starting the English sequence from English 1 increase as a result of this new policy? The data indicate that, yes, the number of students starting at transfer-level English increased from 49.5\% in fall 2016 to $\mathbf{9 2 . 8 \%}$ in fall 2018 (increase of 43.3\%).

Table 1. Percentage of First-Time Freshmen Starting English Course Sequence in English 1

| Term | Count of First-time <br> Freshmen | Started in English 1 | \% Started in <br> English 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fall 2016 | 3308 | 1637 | $49.5 \%$ |
| Fall 2017 | 2997 | 1637 | $54.6 \%$ |
| Fall 2018 | 3373 | 3131 | $92.8 \%$ |

## Equity Implications

A disproportionate-impact analysis was conducted to determine whether specific demographic groups are disproportionately impacted by AB 705. The results indicate that all demographic groups benefited from the policy with Hispanic and African American students benefiting the most. Equity gaps were calculated by subtracting the performance of each ethnicity/race group by the SMC total. The equity gaps experienced by the racially minoritized students has narrowed in fall 2018, after implementation of AB 705. Refer to Figures 1 and 2 and Table 2.


Fig.2: Equity Gap in Starting from English 1


Table 2. Percentage of First-Time Freshmen Starting English Course Sequence in English 1 by Ethnicity/Race

| Group |  | Fall 2016 | Fall 2017 | Fall 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total* | Total | 3308 | 2997 | 3373 |
|  | Started ENGL 1 | 1637 | 1637 | 3131 |
|  | \% | 49.5\% | 54.6\% | 92.8\% |
| Afr Amr | Total | 363 | 293 | 316 |
|  | Started ENGL 1 | 148 | 106 | 282 |
|  | \% | 40.8\% | 36.2\% | 89.2\% |
| Asian | Total | 236 | 192 | 239 |
|  | Started ENGL 1 | 150 | 127 | 229 |
|  | \% | 63.6\% | 66.1\% | 95.8\% |
| Hispanic | Total | 1907 | 1692 | 1916 |
|  | Started ENGL 1 | 762 | 673 | 1747 |
|  | \% | 40.0\% | 39.8\% | 91.2\% |
| White | Total | 623 | 634 | 724 |
|  | Started ENGL 1 | 470 | 494 | 704 |
|  | \% | 75.4\% | 77.9\% | 97.2\% |

*Includes all ethnicity/race groups

## DID THE ENGLISH 1 THROUGHPUT INCREASE AS A RESULT OF IMPLEMENTING AB705?

The English 1 throughput rate (the percentages of students who completed English 1 within two primary semesters of entering their first course in the sequence) was calculated for the last three fall first-time freshmen cohorts. The analysis indicate that English 1 throughput improved to $67.4 \%$ in fall 2018 from $43.7 \%$ in fall 2016 (see Table 3). Not only has the percentage of students who completed English 1 (with a grade of C or better) increase in fall 2018, but also the volume (number) of students increased by $57.2 \%$ when compared to the fall 2016. More first-time freshmen have completed English 1 within one year when compared to previous years.

Table 3. Percentage of First-Time Freshmen Completing English 1 within 2 Primary Semesters

| Term | Count of First-time <br> Freshmen | Started in English 1 | \% Started in <br> English 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fall 2016 | 3308 | 1447 | $43.7 \%$ |
| Fall 2017 | 2997 | 1403 | $46.8 \%$ |
| Fall 2018 | 3373 | 2275 | $67.4 \%$ |

## Equity Implications

The English 1 throughput rate by ethnicity/race reveal an improvement in performance for all ethnicity/race groups when comparing fall 2016 and fall 2018 (see Figure 3), with African American and Latinx students experiencing the largest gains ( $22.4 \%$ and $25.9 \%$ improvement, respectively). However, the Latinx and African American students experienced only a slight narrowing of the equity gap which suggests that while implementation of $A B 705$ improved the throughput for all students, it made a small impact on closing the equity gaps.


Fig. 4: Equity Gap in English 1 Throughput Rate


Table 4. Percentage of First-Time Freshmen One Year English 1 Throughput Rate by Ethnicity/Race

| Group |  | Fall 2016 | Fall 2017 | Fall 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total ${ }^{*}$ | Total | 3308 | 2997 | 3373 |
|  | Completed English 1 | 1447 | 1403 | 2275 |
|  | \% | 43.7\% | 46.8\% | 67.4\% |
| Afr Amr | Total | 363 | 293 | 316 |
|  | Completed English 1 | 115 | 83 | 171 |
|  | \% | 31.7\% | 28.3\% | 54.1\% |
| Asian | Total | 236 | 192 | 239 |
|  | Completed English 1 | 154 | 128 | 192 |
|  | \% | 65.3\% | 66.7\% | 80.3\% |
| Hispanic | Total | 1907 | 1692 | 1916 |
|  | Completed English 1 | 684 | 635 | 1185 |
|  | \% | 35.9\% | 37.5\% | 61.8\% |
| White | Total | 623 | 634 | 724 |
|  | Completed English 1 | 412 | 446 | 600 |
|  | \% | 66.1\% | 70.3\% | 82.9\% |

[^1]
## WHAT IS THE ENGLISH 1 THROUGHPUT RATE BY CO-REQUISITE STATUS (STANDALONE OR WITH ENGLISH 28 CO-REQUISITE)?

Of the 3373 first time freshmen in fall 2018, 947 or $28.1 \%$ enrolled in the English 1 class with a co-requisite (English 1 + English 28). Overall, students taking English 1 without the co-requisite had relatively higher two-semester or one year throughput rates (69.1\%) than those taking it without the co-requisite (63.3\%). However, the pattern is opposite for students with lower selfreported high school GPAs - students with lower high school GPAs (2.5 GPA or lower) completed English 1 at higher rates with the co-requisite than without.


## Equity Implications

The English 1 throughput rate by co-requisite status and ethnicity/race reveal that for African American and White students, those who enrolled in English 1 with English 28 (the co-requisite) completed English 1 within two semesters at higher rates than those without the co-requisite.


Table 5. Percentage of First-Time Freshmen Completing English 1 within 2 Primary Semesters by Race/Ethnicity and Co-Requisite Status

| Completed English <br> Student Group <br> (Throughput) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SMC* | Total |  | $\%$ |
| With co-requisite | 947 | 599 | $\mathbf{6 3 . 3} \%$ |
| Without co-requisite | 2426 | 1676 | $\mathbf{6 9 . 1 \%}$ |
| Afr Amr |  |  |  |
| With co-requisite | 105 | 67 | $\mathbf{6 3 . 8 \%}$ |
| Without co-requisite | 211 | 104 | $\mathbf{4 9 . 3 \%}$ |
| Asian |  |  |  |
| With co-requisite | 39 | 31 | $\mathbf{7 9 . 5 \%}$ |
| Without co-requisite | 200 | 161 | $\mathbf{8 0 . 5 \%}$ |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |
| With co-requisite | 663 | 388 | $\mathbf{5 8 . 5 \%}$ |
| Without co-requisite | 1253 | 797 | $\mathbf{6 3 . 6 \%}$ |
| White |  |  |  |
| With co-requisite | 100 | 86 | $\mathbf{8 6 . 0 \%}$ |
| Without co-requisite | 624 | 514 | $\mathbf{8 2 . 4 \%}$ |

*Includes all ethnicity/race groups

## WHAT IS THE IMPACT OF AB 705 ON OVERALL ENGLISH 1 COURSE SUCCESS RATES?

Since implementing AB 705 in fall 2018, the English 1 course success rates of first-time freshmen in fall terms decreased by $2.2 \%$ when compared to the fall 2016 term (among those who reported a high school GPA).

Table 6. English 1 Course Success Rates of First-Time Freshmen in Fall Terms

| Term | Enrollments | Successful Grade | \% Started in <br> English 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fall 2016 | 2234 | 1452 | $65.0 \%$ |
| Fall 2017 | 1990 | 1406 | $70.7 \%$ |
| Fall 2018 | 3630 | 2278 | $62.8 \%$ |

The English course success rates for the same cohort in fall 2018 by co-prerequisite status data reveal that students with the co-requisite completed English 1 at lower rates (53.4\%) than those without the co-requisite ( $66.9 \%$ ). The trend is the same for all self-reported high school GPA groups.

The data also show that overall, students with higher self-reported high school GPAs (2.6+ = $62.8 \%$ ) successfully completed English 1 at higher rates than those with lower GPAs (1.9 to $2.5=$ $46.1 \%$ and Less than $1.9=32.2 \%$ ).

Table 7. English 1 Course Success Rates in Fall 2018 by Co-Requisite Status and High School GPA

| Group | Enrollment <br> Count | Success <br> Count | ENGL 1 Course <br> Success Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| With co-requisite | 1122 | 599 | $53.4 \%$ |
| Without co-requisite | 2508 | 1679 | $66.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 . 6}$ and above | 2602 | 2278 | $62.8 \%$ |
| With co-requisite | 444 | 301 | $67.8 \%$ |
| Without co-requisite | 2158 | 1516 | $70.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 9}$ to 2.5 | 938 | 432 | $46.1 \%$ |
| With co-requisite | 629 | 283 | $45.0 \%$ |
| Without co-requisite | 309 | 149 | $48.2 \%$ |
| Less than $\mathbf{1 . 9}$ | 90 | 29 | $32.2 \%$ |
| With co-requisite | 49 | 15 | $30.6 \%$ |
| Without co-requisite | 41 | 14 | $34.1 \%$ |

## Equity Implications

The English 1 course success rates by ethnicity/race reveal that African American, Asian, and Hispanic students successfully passed English 1 at lower rates in fall 2018 than in previous cohorts. In addition, the equity gap experienced by the African American and Hispanic students widened over the last three years.


Fig. 8: Equity Gap in English 1 Success Rate


Table 8. English 1 Course Success Rates by Ethnicity/Race

| Group |  | Fall 2016 | Fall 2017 | Fall 2018 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total* | Total | $\mathbf{2 2 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 2 8}$ |
|  | Success Engl 1 | 1452 | 1404 | 2276 |
|  | $\%$ | $65.0 \%$ | $70.7 \%$ | $62.7 \%$ |
| Afr Amr | Total | $\mathbf{2 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 2}$ |
|  | Success Engl 1 | 115 | 85 | 171 |
|  | \% | $56.1 \%$ | $57.0 \%$ | $51.5 \%$ |
| Asian | Total | $\mathbf{2 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 1}$ |
|  | Success Engl 1 | 154 | 128 | 192 |
|  | \% | $76.2 \%$ | $79.5 \%$ | $76.5 \%$ |
| White | Success Engl 1 | $\mathbf{1 1 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 0 8}$ |
|  | \% | Total | 605 | 636 |

[^2]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ (ENGL 2, ENGL 3, ENGL 4, ENGL 14, ENGL 9, ENGL 15ENGL 24, ENGL 30A, ENGL 30B, ENGL 31, ENGL 42, ENGL 53).

[^1]:    *Includes all ethnicity/race groups

[^2]:    *Includes all ethnicity/race groups

